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The facts of the case are as follows: Mr. G., the applicant, owned a house which contained two dwellings, one of which was let to Mr. H., the respondent. Mr. G. and Mr. H. were neighbours. Mr. G. lived in the house and Mr. H. lived in the part which was let to him. The house was not divided into two parts, but the two parts were separate dwellings. Mr. G. and Mr. H. were not related and had no previous association. Mr. G. and Mr. H. were not related and had no previous association.
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